

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Heng Ren Investments LP

Plaintiff(s)

V.

Sinovac Biotech Ltd., Weidong Yin

Defendant(s)

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 19-11612

**REPORT RE: REFERENCE FOR  
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

TO JUDGE GORTON

☐ The above entitled case was reported settled after referral to the ADR Program, but prior to ADR.

☒ On 4/25/2023 I held the following ADR proceeding:

☐ SCREENING CONFERENCE

☐ EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION

☒ MEDIATION

☐ SUMMARY BENCH / JURY TRIAL

☐ MINI-TRIAL

☐ SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

All parties were represented by counsel [except \_\_\_\_\_]

The parties were ☒ /were not ☐ present in person or by authorized corporate officer [except \_\_\_\_\_].

The case was:

☐ Settled. Your clerk should enter a \_\_\_\_\_ day order of dismissal.

☐ There was progress. A further conference has been scheduled for \_\_\_\_\_ unless the case is reported settled prior to that date.

☒ Further efforts to settle this case at this time are, in my judgment, unlikely to be productive. This case should be restored to your trial list.

☐ Suggested strategy to facilitate settlement:

Further mediation unlikely to be productive at this stage of the litigation.

4/25/2023

DATE

/s/ Paul G. Levenson

ADR Provider